Randomized Algorithms

Lecture 3: "Occupancy, Moments and Deviations"

Sotiris Nikoletseas Professor

CEID - ETY Course 2017 - 2018

Sotiris Nikoletseas, Professor

Randomized Algorithms - Lecture 3 1 / 29

1. Some basic inequalities (I)

(i)
$$\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)^n \le e$$

Proof: It is:
$$\forall x \ge 0$$
: $1 + x \le e^x$. For $x = \frac{1}{n}$, we get $\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \le \left(e^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)^n = e$

(ii)
$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-1} \ge \frac{1}{e}$$

Proof: It suffices that
$$\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{n-1} \ge \frac{1}{e} \Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)^{n-1} \le e$$

But $\frac{n}{n-1} = 1 + \frac{1}{n-1}$, so it suffices that $\left(1 + \frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{n-1} \le e$
which is true by (i).

-1

1. Some basic inequalities (II)

(iii)
$$n! \ge \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n$$

Proof: It is obviously $\frac{n^n}{n!} \le \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{n^i}{i!}$
But $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{n^i}{i!} = e^n$ from Taylor's expansion of $f(x) = e^x$.

(iv) For any $k \le n$: $\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^k \le {\binom{n}{k}} \le {\left(\frac{ne}{k}\right)^k}$

Proof: Indeed,
$$k \leq n \Rightarrow \frac{n}{k} \leq \frac{n-1}{k-1}$$

Inductively $k \leq n \Rightarrow \frac{n}{k} \leq \frac{n-i}{k-i}, (1 \leq i \leq k-1)$
Thus $\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^k \leq \frac{n}{k} \cdot \frac{n-1}{k-1} \cdots \frac{n-(k-1)}{k-(k-1)} = \frac{n^k}{k!} = \binom{n}{k}$
For the right inequality we obviously have $\binom{n}{k} \leq \frac{n^k}{k!}$
and by (iii) it is $k! \geq \left(\frac{k}{e}\right)^k$

(i) Boole's inequality (or union bound)

Let random events $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_n$. Then

$$Pr\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{E}_{i}\right\} = Pr\{\mathcal{E}_{1} \cup \mathcal{E}_{2} \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{E}_{n}\} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} Pr\{\mathcal{E}_{i}\}$$

Note: If the events are disjoint, then we get equality.

(ii) Expectation (or Mean)

Let X a random variable with probability density function (pdf) f(x). Its expectation is:

$$\mu_x = E[X] = \sum_x x \cdot \Pr\{X = x\}$$

If X is continuous, $\mu_x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xf(x) dx$

2. Preliminaries

(ii) Expectation (or Mean)

Properties:

•
$$\forall X_i \ (i=1,2,\ldots,n) : E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i]$$

This important property is called "linearity of expectation".

•
$$E[cX] = cE[X]$$
, where c constant

- if X, Y stochastically independent, then $E[X \cdot Y] = E[X] \cdot E[Y]$
- Let f(X) a real-valued function of X. Then $E[f(x)] = \sum_{x} f(x) Pr\{X = x\}$



(iii) Markov's inequality

<u>Theorem:</u> Let X a non-negative random variable. Then, $\forall t > 0$ $Pr\{X \ge t\} \le \frac{E[X]}{t}$

$$\underline{\operatorname{Proof:}} E[X] = \sum_{x} x \operatorname{Pr}\{X = x\} \ge \sum_{x \ge t} x \operatorname{Pr}\{X = x\}$$
$$\ge \sum_{x \ge t} t \operatorname{Pr}\{X = x\} = t \sum_{x \ge t} \operatorname{Pr}\{X = x\} = t \operatorname{Pr}\{X \ge t\}$$

<u>Note:</u> Markov is a (rather weak) concentration inequality, e.g. $\begin{array}{l} Pr\{X \geq 2E[X]\} \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ Pr\{X \geq 3E[X]\} \leq \frac{1}{3} \\ \text{etc} \end{array}$

(iv) Variance (or second moment)

- Definition: Var(X) = E[(X μ)²], where μ = E[X]
 i.e. it measures (statistically) deviations from mean.
- Properties:

Note: We call $\sigma = \sqrt{Var(X)}$ the standard deviation of X.

(v) Chebyshev's inequality

Theorem: Let X a r.v. with mean
$$\mu = E[X]$$
. It is:
 $Pr\{|X - \mu| \ge t\} \le \frac{Var(X)}{t^2} \quad \forall t > 0$

Proof:
$$Pr\{|X - \mu| \ge t\} = Pr\{(X - \mu)^2 \ge t^2\}$$

From Markov's inequality:
 $Pr\{(X - \mu)^2 \ge t^2\} \le \frac{E[(X - \mu)^2]}{t^2} = \frac{Var(X)}{t^2}$

<u>Note</u>: Chebyshev's inequality provides stronger (than Markov's) concentration bounds, e.g. $Pr\{|X - \mu| \ge 2\sigma\} \le \frac{1}{4}$ $Pr\{|X - \mu| \ge 3\sigma\} \le \frac{1}{9}$ etc

- occupancy procedures are actually stochastic processes (i.e, random processes in time). Particularly, the occupancy process consists in <u>placing randomly balls into bins, one at a time.</u>
- occupancy problems/processes have fundamental importance for the analysis of randomized algorithms, such as for data structures (e.g. hash tables), routing etc.

3. Occupancy - definition and basic questions

 general occupancy process: we uniformly randomly and independently put, one at a time, m distinct objects ("balls") each one into one of n distinct classes ("bins").

basic questions:

- what is the maximum number of balls in any bin?
- how many balls are needed so as no bin remains empty, with high probability?
- what is the number of empty bins?
- what is the number of bins with k balls in them?
- Note: in the next lecture we will study the coupon collector's problem, a variant of occupancy.

Let us randomly place m = n balls into n bins.

Question: What is the maximum number of balls in any bin?

<u>Remark</u>: Let us first estimate the expected number of balls in any bin.

For any bin i $(1 \le i \le n)$ let $X_i = \#$ balls in bin i. Clearly $X_i \sim B(m, \frac{1}{n})$ (binomial) So $E[X_i] = m\frac{1}{n} = n\frac{1}{n} = 1$

We however expect this "mean" (expected) behaviour to be highly improbable, i.e.,

- some bins get no balls at all
- some bins get many balls

<u>Theorem 1.</u> With probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{n}$, no bin gets more than $k^* = \frac{3 \ln n}{\ln \ln n}$ balls.

<u>Proof:</u> Let $\mathcal{E}_j(k)$ the event "bin j gets k or more balls". Because of symmetry, we first focus on a given bin (say bin 1). It is $\Pr\{\text{bin 1 gets exactly } i \text{ balls}\} = {n \choose i} {(\frac{1}{n})^i} {(1 - \frac{1}{n})^{n-i}}$ since we have a binomial $B(n, \frac{1}{n})$. But

$$\binom{n}{i} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-i} \leq \binom{n}{i} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{i} \leq \left(\frac{ne}{i}\right)^{i} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{i} = \left(\frac{e}{i}\right)^{i}$$
(from basic inequality iv)

Thus
$$Pr\{\mathcal{E}_1(k)\} \leq \sum_{i=k}^n \left(\frac{e}{i}\right)^i \leq \left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^k \cdot \left(1 + \frac{e}{k} + \left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^2 + \cdots\right) =$$
$$= \left(\frac{e}{k}\right)^k \frac{1}{1 - \frac{e}{k}}$$

Now, let
$$k^* = \left\lceil \frac{3\ln n}{\ln \ln n} \right\rceil$$
. Then:
 $Pr\{\mathcal{E}_1(k^*)\} \le \left(\frac{e}{k^*}\right)^{k^*} \frac{1}{1-\frac{e}{k^*}} \le 2\left(\frac{e}{\frac{3\ln n}{\ln \ln n}}\right)^{k^*}$
since it suffices $\frac{1}{1-\frac{e}{k^*}} \le 2 \Leftrightarrow \frac{k^*}{k^*-e} \le 2 \Leftrightarrow k^* \le 2k^* - 2e \Leftrightarrow k^* \ge 2e$ which is true.
But $2\left(\frac{e}{\frac{3\ln n}{\ln \ln n}}\right)^{k^*} = 2\left(e^{1-\ln 3 - \ln \ln n + \ln \ln \ln n}\right)^{k^*}$
 $\le 2\left(e^{-\ln \ln n + \ln \ln \ln n}\right)^{k^*} \le 2\exp\left(-3\ln n + 6\ln n\frac{\ln \ln \ln n}{\ln \ln n}\right)$
 $\le 2\exp(-3\ln n + 0.5\ln n) = 2\exp(-2.5\ln n) \le \frac{1}{n^2}$
for *n* large enough.

Thus,

$$Pr\{\text{any bin gets more than } k^* \text{ balls}\} = Pr\left\{\bigcup_{j=1}^n \mathcal{E}_j(k^*)\right\}$$
$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^n Pr\{\mathcal{E}_j(k^*)\} \leq nPr\{\mathcal{E}_1(k^*)\} \leq n\frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{1}{n} \text{ (by symmetry) } \Box$$

- We showed that when m = n the mean number of balls in any bin is 1, but the maximum can be as high as $k^* = \frac{3 \ln n}{\ln \ln n}$
- The next theorem shows that when m = n log n the maximum number of balls in any bin is more or less the same as the expected number of balls in any bin.
- <u>Theorem 2.</u> When $m = n \ln n$, then with probability 1 o(1) every bin has $O(\log n)$ balls.

- If at each iteration we randomly pick *d* bins and throw the ball into the bin with the smallest number of balls, we can do much better than in Theorem 1:
- <u>Theorem 3.</u> We place m = n balls sequentially in n bins as follows:

For each ball, $d \ge 2$ bins are chosen uniformly at random (and independently). Each ball is placed in the least full of the *d* bins (ties broken randomly). When all balls are placed, the maximum load at any bin is at most $\frac{\ln \ln n}{\ln d} + O(1)$, with probability at least 1 - o(1) (in other words, a more balanced balls distribution is achieved). Theorem 1 shows that when m = n then the maximum load in any bin is $O\left(\frac{\ln n}{\ln \ln n}\right)$, with high probability. We now show that this result is tight:

<u>Lemma 1:</u> There is a $k = \Omega\left(\frac{\ln n}{\ln \ln n}\right)$ such that bin 1 has k balls with probability at least $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$.

<u>Proof:</u> $Pr[k \text{ balls in bin } 1] = \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-k}$ $\geq \left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^k \frac{1}{n^k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-k}$ (from basic inequality iv) $= \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-k} \geq \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^k \left(\frac{1}{2e}\right) = \frac{1}{2e} \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^k$ (for $n \geq 2$) By putting $k = \frac{c \ln n}{\ln \ln n}$ we get $Pr\{\frac{c \ln n}{\ln \ln n} \text{ balls in bin } 1\} \ge \frac{1}{2e} \left(\frac{\ln \ln n}{c \ln n}\right)^{\frac{c \ln n}{\ln \ln n}} \ge \left(\frac{1}{c \ln n}\right)^{\frac{c \ln n}{\ln \ln n}}$ (for $n \ge 4$) $= \left(\frac{1}{c2^{\ln \ln n}}\right)^{\frac{c \ln n}{\ln \ln n}} = \frac{1}{c2^{\ln \ln n} \frac{c \ln n}{\ln \ln n}} = \frac{1}{c2^{c \ln n}} = \frac{1}{cn^c} = \Omega(n^{-c})$ Setting $c = \frac{1}{2}$ we get $Pr\{\frac{c \ln n}{\ln \ln n} \text{ balls in bin } 1\} \ge \Omega(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$

3. Occupancy - the case $m = n \log n$

Towards a proof of Theorem 2. We use the following bound.

Theorem (Chernoff bound). Let X a r.v.:

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = X_1 + \dots + X_n$$

where for all $i \ (1 \le i \le n)$ the X_i 's are independent and

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{with probability } p \\ 0, & \text{with probability } 1 - p \end{cases}$$

Let $E[X] = np = \mu$. Then, $\forall \delta > 0$

$$Pr\{X \ge \mu(1+\delta)\} \le \left(\frac{e^{\delta}}{(1+\delta)^{(1+\delta)}}\right)^{\mu} \Box$$

When placing $m = n \log n$ balls into n bins let

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if ball } i \text{ lands in bin 1 } (\text{prob} = \frac{1}{n}) \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{m} X_i = \# \text{ of balls in bin 1.}$$

Then

٠

$$\mu = E[X] = m\frac{1}{n} = \ln n$$

Let us estimate the probability that bin 1 receives more than e.g. $10\ln n$ balls

• by the Markov inequality: $Pr\{X \ge 10 \ln n\} \le \frac{\ln n}{10 \ln n} = \frac{1}{10}$ (the bound is not strong) • by the Chebyshev's inequality:

X is actually binomial, i.e. $X \sim B(m, \frac{1}{n})$ thus its variance is $Var(X) = m\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) = \frac{m}{n} - \frac{m}{n^2} \leq \frac{m}{n}$ Thus $Pr\{X \geq \frac{m}{n} + k\} \leq Pr\{|X - \frac{m}{n}| \geq k\} \leq \frac{Var(X)}{k^2} \leq \frac{m}{nk^2}$ For $m = n \ln n \Rightarrow \frac{m}{n} = \ln n$ and for $k = 9 \ln n$ we have $Pr\{X \geq 10 \ln n\} = Pr\{X \geq \ln n + 9 \ln n\} \leq \frac{n \ln n}{n81 \ln^2 n} = \frac{1}{81 \ln n}$ (a bound which is better than the one by Markov's inequality) Let us estimate the probability that bin 1 receives more than e.g. $10\ln n$ balls

■ by Chernoff bound:

$$Pr\{X \ge 10 \ln n\} = Pr\{X \ge (1+9) \ln n\} \le \left(\frac{e^9}{10^{10}}\right)^{\ln n} \le \frac{1}{n^{10}}$$
(much stronger)

Thus,

 $\begin{aligned} & Pr\{\exists \text{ bin with more than } 10 \ln n \text{ balls }\} \leq n \frac{1}{n^{10}} = n^{-9} \\ \Rightarrow & Pr\{\text{all bins have less than } 10 \ln n \text{ balls}\} \geq 1 - n^{-9} \end{aligned}$

A similar bound applies to the "low tail", i.e. the probability that there exists a bin with less than, say, $\frac{1}{10} \ln n$ balls tends to zero, as *n* tends to infinity. Overall, there is high concentration around the mean value of $\ln n$ balls per bin. <u>Note:</u> The corresponding bounds (for any bin) by Markov's inequality and Chebychev's inequality are trivial:

- by Markov we get $\leq \frac{n}{10}$
- by Chebyshev we get $\leq \frac{n}{81 \ln n}$

Let the experiment of sequentially putting m balls randomly in n bins.

<u>Problem</u>: How large m can be so that the probability of all balls being placed in distinct bins remains high?

For $2 \leq i \leq m$, let \mathcal{E}_i = "the *i*th ball lands in a bin not occupied by the first i - 1 balls". The desired probability is:

$$Pr\{\bigcap_{i=2}^{m} \mathcal{E}_{i}\} = \prod_{i=2}^{m} Pr\{\mathcal{E}_{i} | \bigcap_{j=2}^{i-1} \mathcal{E}_{j}\} =$$
$$Pr\{\mathcal{E}_{2}\} Pr\{\mathcal{E}_{3} | \mathcal{E}_{2}\} Pr\{\mathcal{E}_{4} | \mathcal{E}_{2} \mathcal{E}_{3}\} \cdots Pr\{\mathcal{E}_{m} | \mathcal{E}_{2} \dots \mathcal{E}_{m-1}\}$$

But

$$Pr\{\mathcal{E}_i | \bigcap_{j=2}^{i-1} \mathcal{E}_j\} = 1 - \frac{i-1}{n} \le e^{-\frac{i-1}{n}}$$

$$Pr\{\bigcap_{i=2}^{m} \mathcal{E}_i\} \le \prod_{i=2}^{m} e^{-\frac{i-1}{n}} = e^{-\sum_{i=2}^{m} \frac{i-1}{n}} = e^{-\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i} = e^{-\frac{m(m-1)}{2n}}$$

Thus, when $m = \lceil \sqrt{2n} + 1 \rceil$ then this probability is at most $\frac{1}{e}$ while when *m* increases the probability decreases rapidly.

<u>Note:</u> This is similar to the classic "birthday paradox" in probability theory.